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Abstract
Background and Purpose: Severity of illness scoring systems is used for the classification of patients to receive medical services, predict the risk of mortality, determine hospital bed occupancy, and assess treatment progress. In Iran, these scoring systems are not frequently used due to the lack of knowledge of medical staff. This study aimed to evaluate the effect of education on the knowledge and attitude of intensive care unit (ICU) staff towards the use of predictive illness severity scoring systems in Imam Khomeini Hospital of Sari, Iran.

Methods: This pre-experimental study was conducted using a researcher-made questionnaire to assess the knowledge and attitude of ICU staff towards the use of illness severity scoring systems. Study population consisted of all the ICU nurses and physicians engaged in Imam Khomeini Hospital of Sari, Iran. In total, 60 participants were selected via census sampling. All the participants received training on the application of disease severity scoring systems. Knowledge level and attitude of the participants were assessed 10 days after the intervention. Data analysis was performed in SPSS V.18 using descriptive and inferential statistics, Mann-Whitney U test, and repeated measures one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Results: In this study, 43 participants (72%) were female, and the mean age of the subjects was 32.5±7.3 years. Mean score of knowledge increased from 3±5.25 before the intervention to 18.4±0.96 after the intervention. With regard to attitude, mean scores reached from 100.42±11.34 before the intervention to 122.30±9.81 after the intervention (P=0.001).

Conclusion: After receiving education on the function of disease severity scoring systems, level of knowledge in the ICU nurses improved from low to high, while their attitude improved from moderate to excellent. Therefore, it is recommended that predictive patient scoring systems be included in medical and nursing curricula. Furthermore, new strategies must be considered to apply these tools in all the critical care units of the country.
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Introduction
Organ dysfunction commonly occurs in the provision of care for critically ill patients and is considered as the main cause of mortalities and complications in patients admitted at intensive care units (ICUs) (1). As such, one of the major concerns in critical care research is to predict the risk of mortality and rate of complications in patients (2). Since ICU beds are costly and limited in number, patients with more severe conditions are prioritized and need the immediate attention of intensive care physicians and nurses (3).

Patient scoring systems are frequently used to classify patients based on the required treatment, care services, and medical assistance. Moreover, these tools help medical professionals to predict the risk of mortality, bed occupancy rates, and treatment progress of the patients (4). Scoring systems could also determine similar patient outcomes, clinical
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decision-making, and resource allocation (5).
According to the literature, patient scoring systems could be used to verify disease illness severity and cause of prognosis (to evaluate various therapeutic interventions), assess the quality of care, manage medical records, prioritize patients, reduce treatment costs, and conduct standard research (6, 7).

With regard to professional management, scoring systems could be applied for the adjustment of human resources, prioritizing patients to receive special services, and prediction of hospital bed occupancy rates (4, 6). By using these systems, physicians will be able to report the final results of patients to their relatives with more precision and clarity. In hospitals, patient scoring systems are considered as the major criteria to assess ICU standards and compare them with international principles (3, 6, 8, 9).

According to reliable resources, there are four types of scoring systems available to predict disease severity and mortality risk, as follows: 1) Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE; versions II, III, and IV); 2) Simplified Acute Physiologic Score (SAPS); 3) Mortality Prediction Model (MPM) and 4) Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) (6, 7, 9). Previous studies have confirmed the efficacy of these tools in predicting various diseases (10, 11), and many clinical trials have used them as auxiliary methods to classify different patients (11-13).

Despite the efficacy of the aforementioned scoring systems, only a few studies in the literature review of Iranian medical centers have investigated the application of APACHE II, MPM and SAPS (14), most of which are research projects. Therefore, current findings in this regard are not considered routinely in ICUs, and the exact function of these tools remains unknown to many medical professionals.

Disease prevention and timely treatment of patients are the most essential factors in avoiding organ dysfunction. Therefore, use of scoring systems to predict disease severity and mortality risk in clinical practice could contribute to the successful monitoring of patients and reduction of mortality rates (1).

In patients admitted in the ICU, use of a valid index is of paramount importance since it leads to the most effective decision-making regarding the quality of provided services, especially nursing care. Nevertheless, in many hospitals and educational centers of Iran, healthcare providers still use traditional methods of patient evaluation, such as the monitoring of vital signs and consciousness level (4).

Although ICU nurses are required to have adequate theoretical and practical information about new patient care methods, lack of knowledge regarding the use of scoring systems prevails in the majority of these nurses (15). In Iran, several studies have been performed to evaluate the knowledge of clinical staff, especially nurses, and the results are mostly indicative of low knowledge level among these healthcare professionals. For instance, in a study entitled “Knowledge of ICU Nurses” conducted by Mohammadi et al. in Semnan Province in 2009, level of knowledge about the provision of nursing care for ICU patients was reported to range from low to moderate among the nursing staff (16). Unfortunately, this is similar to the findings of other studies in this regard (17-19).

As one of the main components of every medical team, nurses play a pivotal role in providing appropriate patient care; therefore, enhancing the quality of nursing practice is the most vital factor in accelerating patient recovery. Regular education and training are considered as the most effective response to rapid changes, which could remarkably improve the professional standards of nursing care (19).

Education is defined as the process through which a set of knowledge and skills, along with the associated habits and expertise, are conveyed to individuals in order to develop their abilities in a specific context (18). Knowledge and awareness change thought processes, attitudes and actions of individuals, and these changes are reflected in the behavior of the individual (20).

Attitude or tendency is defined as the relatively constant organization of one’s beliefs about a concept or situation, which enables the individual to respond in a specific direction. Tendency is resulted from awareness. In other words, an individual's
positive attitude towards a certain situation leads to the his/her physical and psychological preparedness for involvement in any process (21).

Nursing in ICU requires critical decision-making by applying the appropriate nursing knowledge, skills, experience, and attitude in different conditions (22).

Several studies have confirmed that expansion of knowledge will enhance the attitude and performance of nurses (23, 24). This study aimed to evaluate the knowledge and attitude of physicians and ICU nurses towards the use of scoring systems to predict disease severity and risk of mortality. Furthermore, we investigated the possible methods to facilitate the use of scoring systems in ICUs, as well as the efficacy of these tools in reducing treatment costs and enhancing the quality of care. By recording the obtained data, the researchers hoped to lay the grounds for future research.

Materials and Methods

In this pre-experimental study, we evaluated the effect of training about the function of predictive disease severity scoring systems on the knowledge and attitude of the nurses engaged in the ICU of Imam Khomeini Hospital of Sari, Mazandaran, Iran in 2012. Census sampling was the method of choice for selecting the participants considering the high possibility of information exchange between the participants, as well as the special condition of the ICU in Imam Khomeini Hospital affiliated to Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences. In total, 60 participants were selected for the study, including 50 nurses, seven anesthesiologists and three pulmonary disease specialists.

Data were collected using a researcher-made questionnaire consisting of demographic data, 20 items to measure knowledge, and 30 items to evaluate attitude towards the use of predictive scoring systems for disease severity and mortality risk. To measure knowledge, the questionnaire contained 20 multiple-choice items with the score range of 0-20.

Items focusing on the attitude were scored based on a five-point Likert scale (Strongly agree, Agree, Do not know, Disagree and Strongly disagree) within a score range of 30-150.

Content validity was used qualitatively to confirm the validity of the questionnaire. The questionnaire was developed based on the review of scientific research literature, and necessary adjustments were made based on the comments of 10 academic experts. Reliability of the knowledge and attitude questionnaire was confirmed using the test-retest method. To do so, the questionnaire was initially completed by 18 ICU nurses and physicians, and this process was repeated 10 days later. Intraclass correlation coefficient of the knowledge and attitude questionnaire was estimated at 0.846.

Initially, a pre-test was carried out to determine the level of knowledge and attitude towards the scoring systems of disease severity and mortality risk, including APACHE, SAPS, MPM, and SOFA. At this stage, the participants completed a questionnaire consisting of demographic data and level of knowledge and attitude. Afterwards, all the participants received training by the researcher in groups of 8-12 (25). In order to eliminate the effect of other data collection methods in this context, a post-test was carried out 10 days after the intervention to measure the level of knowledge and attitude (26).

In the area of knowledge, data were classified into four categories of very low (score range: 0-4), low (score range: 5-9), moderate (score range: 10-15), and high (score range: 16-20). Participants with scores of ≥10 were considered to have adequate knowledge regarding the function of scoring systems.

With regard to attitude, data were classified into five categories of very poor (score range: 30-54), poor (score range: 55-79), moderate (score range: 80-104), good (score range: 105-129), and excellent (score range: 130-150).

Data analysis was performed in SPSS V.18 using descriptive and inferential statistics, Mann-Whitney U test, and repeated measures one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Results

In this study, 43 participants (72%) were female, and mean age of the study population was calculated at 32.57.3± years. In total, 83.33% of the participants
were nurses, and 16.67% were physicians engaged in the ICU. According to our findings, only 26.7% of the participants had experience of supplementary professional courses for the ICU department, the majority of whom were physicians. In addition, only 23.3% of the respondents were familiar with the scoring systems to predict disease severity and risk of mortality.

According to the information in Table 1 and results of Mann-Whitney U test, mean level of knowledge of the participants who had passed supplementary ICU courses (38.28), attended related seminars (55.29), had prior knowledge of scoring systems (45.56), and were acquainted with these tools (50.39) was significantly higher compared to other groups. Other data regarding the attitude of participants are presented in Table 1.

Comparison of the mean scores of knowledge and attitude before and after the intervention is presented in Table 2. Accordingly, paired t-test confirmed a significant difference in the mean of knowledge and attitude between the pre-test and post-test phase of the study (P<0.001) (Table 2).

According to our findings, the majority of the participants (81.67%) had a poor knowledge of the predictive scoring systems for disease severity and risk of mortality before the intervention. However, 10 days after the training, all participants had a significant improvement in this regard.

Before the intervention, the knowledge level was reported to be very low, low, moderate, and high in 81.67%, 8.33%, 3.33%, and 6.67% of the participants, respectively. However, after the training, high level of knowledge was reported in all the participants about the function of scoring systems.

With respect to the attitude of participants before training, 60% had moderate attitude, and 40% had good attitude towards the use of scoring systems. After the intervention, 86.67% and 13.33% of the participants improved to good and excellent attitudes, respectively.

**Discussion**

According to the results of this study, level of knowledge and attitude of ICU physicians and nurses had a significant difference before and after the training on the function of disease-severity scoring systems. One study in Taiwan was conducted to assess the knowledge and attitude of ICU nurses about the application of APACHE II scoring system. In total, 120 nurses were evaluated, and the results were indicative of the inadequate knowledge and poor attitude of these professionals towards this scoring system (27).

Findings of a similar study in this regard indicated

---

**Table 1. Mean scores of knowledge and attitude of participants before training based on different variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean Score of Knowledge</th>
<th>Mann-Whitney U Test</th>
<th>Mean Score of Attitude</th>
<th>Mann-Whitney U Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supplementary Professional ICU Courses</td>
<td>Yes: 38.28</td>
<td>P&lt;0.019</td>
<td>Yes: 43.47</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No: 27.67</td>
<td></td>
<td>No: 25.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attending Related Seminars</td>
<td>Yes: 55.29</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Yes: 44.14</td>
<td>P&lt;0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No: 27.23</td>
<td></td>
<td>No: 28.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Studies on Scoring Systems</td>
<td>Yes: 45.56</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Yes: 42.00</td>
<td>P&lt;0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No: 25.02</td>
<td></td>
<td>No: 26.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation of Scoring System Applications</td>
<td>Yes: 44.13</td>
<td>P&lt;0.007</td>
<td>Yes: 35.00</td>
<td>P&lt;0.429 *NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No: 28.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>No: 29.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquaintance with Scoring Systems</td>
<td>Yes: 50.39</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Yes: 42.86</td>
<td>P&lt;0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No: 24.45</td>
<td></td>
<td>No: 26.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NS=Not significant

---

**Table 2. Comparison of mean scores of knowledge and attitude before and after intervention**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Before Intervention Mean±SD</th>
<th>10 Days after Intervention Mean±SD</th>
<th>Paired t-test/ P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>3±5.253</td>
<td>18.41±0.961</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude</td>
<td>100.42±11.337</td>
<td>122.30±9.807</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
that the level of knowledge on the application of different scoring systems was poor and moderate in 54% and 39% of ICU nurses, respectively. Contrary to the belief that ICU nurses gain expertise during many years of professional activity in critical care units, they mostly acquire specific skills, which are continuously used for patient care (16).

The majority of ICU nurses in the present study were young (mean age: 30 years) and had bachelor’s degree (94%). According to our findings, 96% of the nurses with bachelor’s degree had very poor knowledge regarding the function of patient scoring systems. Nurses are invaluable assets of every healthcare organization, and lack of incentive for expanding knowledge in these individuals could be attributed to different factors, such as the unspecialized training and inefficient curricula of nursing in bachelor’s programs, and incompetent selection of critical care nurses. Therefore, young nurses engaged in critical care units must attempt to expand their knowledge in specialized fields.

The positive attitude of ICU nurses towards the use of scoring systems despite their low level of knowledge in our study indicated that these healthcare professionals felt the need to receive relevant training, and this was probably one of the main reasons for the active cooperation of the participants in the current study. However, further research is required as to discover the other causes of this issue.

According to the results of the present study, knowledge and attitude of the nursing staff with experience of supplementary professional ICU courses was significantly higher compared to those with no history of training. This denotes that expanding professional knowledge through supplementary ICU courses encourages healthcare providers to pay attention to the quality of care, and this will positively affect their attitude. In this regard, results of other studies have suggested that experience of special training courses leads to the enhancement of professional knowledge and awareness in nurses as one of the main assets of healthcare organizations (28, 29).

In the present study, knowledge and attitude of participants had a significant increase 10 days after the training with regard to the use of predictive scoring systems for disease severity and risk of mortality. Correspondingly, all 60 patients (100%) of our participants achieved perfect scores, which denoted the efficacy of training programs in improving the knowledge and attitude of nurses. This is in line with the findings of another study, which confirmed the significant effect of training on the enhancement of knowledge and performance of nurses in neonatal intensive care units (19).

In another study, researchers investigated the effect of training on the awareness and attitude of nursing students about brain death and organ donation, and the results were indicative of the positive impact of education programs on the level of knowledge and attitude of nursing students (30).

One of the factors to distinguish between ICU nurses and other nursing staff is that ICU nurses are required to have comprehensive knowledge of scientific theories with regard to nursing practice in order to provide the most effectual care for the patients (28).

Inadequate knowledge in graduate medical students could lead to uncompensable damage to the community and health system (31). Therefore, it is recommended that nurses with higher academic capability and positive attitude towards patient scoring systems be employed in critical care units. Training of nursing staff in all fields of patient care and different sectors is an inevitable, imperative issue; however, considering the particular sensitivity of critical care units, training programs are vital for the staff of these sections (16).

Conclusion

In conclusion, findings of the current study indicated that training of human resources to practice their acquired knowledge is the most fundamental approach towards organizational improvement. Medical educational programs nurture the talents of individuals, improve professional methods and techniques, result in the acquisition of knowledge and occupational skills, and prevent the waste of human and financial resources. Therefore, it is recommended that in addition to proper educational programs, healthcare professionals be provided
with opportunities in order to apply their acquired knowledge.
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